

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,351	03/07/2001	Calvin D. Ostler	5038.1 P	4906	
28213	7590 11/22/2006		EXAMINER		
DLA PIPER US LLP			WILSON, JOHN J		
4365 EXECU SUITE 1100	TIVE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133			3732	
			DATE MAILED, 11/22/2004	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/801,351	OSTLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Wilson	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 148-159 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 148-159 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/06. S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 150 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure as originally filed does not teach using unpackaged LEDs, and as such, this limitation is improper new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 148, 151, 152, 154 and 159 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (WO 99/16136) in view of Hochstein (6045240). Mills shows a a plurality of LEDs 43, thermal substrate 48, heat pipe 45, pages 14 and 15, and Fig. 5, located between the LED substrate 48 and heat sink 51 and control circuitry

Art Unit: 3732

42, 44 and 52. Mills does not show using LEDs that have a first electrically and thermally conductive substrate connected to a second thermally conductive and electrically insulating substrate. Hochstein shows LEDs 28 that include a first substrate 50 that is electrically and thermally conductive, column 8, lines 20-25, and a second substrate 56, 58 that is thermally conductive and electrically insulating and connected to a heat sink 36, column 6, lines 5-34. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Mills to include using LEDs as shown by Hochstein in order to better distribute the heat away from the source and electrically isolate the conductive parts. As to claim 154, see optical element 41 of Mills. As to claim 159, the method steps are obvious in view of shown assembled structure.

Claims 149, 153 and 155-158 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (WO 99/16136) in view of Hochstein (6045240) as applied to claims 148 and 154 above, and further in view of Cao (6331111). The above combination does not show cups or cups with a reflective surface or cups with angled walls or a lens. Cao teaches using LEDs that are located in cups 102a and Fig. 9, that have angled walls and coated with a reflective surface, column 9, lines 42-44, and also teaches using a lens 1407. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include LEDs as shown by Cao in order to best provide the desired light to the desired area. Cao also teaches using plural connected substrates, Figs, 11a and 11b. As to claim 158, Cao also teaches using different wavelengths, column 1, lines 29-34.

Claim 150 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al (WO 99/16136) in view of Hochstein (6045240) as applied to claim 148 above, and further in view of Kovac et al (6200134). The above combination does not show using unpackaged LEDs. Kovac teaches using unpackaged LEDs. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include using unpackaged LEDs as shown by Kovac in order to make use of known LEDs used in the art.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed July 25, 2006 has been considered and an initialed copy is attached.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 10, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remarks are responded to in the above rejections of the new claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-4722). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,351 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Wilson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3732

jjw November 15, 2006